AL-AASAR Journal
Quarterly Research Journal
www.al-aasar.com
Vol. 3, No. 2 (2026)
Online ISSN: 3006-693X
Print ISSN:3006-6921

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND LANGUAGE CHANGE: THE IMPACT OF AI WRITING TOOLS ON ACADEMIC ENGLISH

Dr. Muhammad Ehtsham,
Assistant Professor of English,Higher Education Department, Punjab Pakistan
mehtsham@gmail.com
Rawaha Sahar Ansari,
MS Linguistics Scholar,Mehran University, Hyderabad
rawahasahar28@gmail.com
Dr. Yasir Mustafa,
Lecturer,University of Science and Technology Bannu
dryasirqureshi@gmail.com
Muhamamd Rizwan,
Lecturer / Author
hafizrizwan158@gmail.com
Corresponding Author: Muhamamd Rizwan

Abstract
This paper investigates the increasing role of writing assistance using artificial intelligence (AI) and its impact on the field of academic English and the way it is transforming the use of language in higher education. As the usage of grammar checkers, paraphrasing systems, and generative AI platforms gains popularity among students, more and more of them are relying on automated aids in writing academic texts. The study explores the impact of these tools in vocabulary selection, sentence structure, coherence, and originality in student writing. It also explores the hypothesis of whether AI-assisted writing positively influences the language proficiency or causes dependence that discourages critical development of language. The evidence of writing samples and classroom observations of student writing indicates that AI applications enhance grammatical correctness and fluency, yet can decrease personal style and critical language analysis. The research points out the opportunities and challenges of incorporating AI in academic writing practices. Although AI can aid in learning by offering immediate feedback and academic language models, excessive reliance can undermine the ability to write independently. The results highlight the importance of balanced pedagogical approaches that include AI as an aiding tool and not as a substitute to the cognitive writing processes.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Academic Writing, language change, Academic English, Writing Tools, Student Dependency, Digital Literacy.

1. Introduction
The area of artificial intelligence (AI) has quickly changed the fields of education, especially academic writing. AI writing assistants like grammar fixers, paraphrase software, andgenerative language models have become common practice in higher education. Not only are their growing usage transforming the manner in which students write, but also the nature of academic English itself is being redefined.

Academic writing has always been regarded as a cognitively challenging ability, which involves the ability to analyze, synthesize, argue, and be innovative. It is tightly connected with the critical thinking and intellectual growth in the higher education situations. Nevertheless, with the advent of AI tools, automated support has been added to almost all writing processes, including idea generation and sentence optimization. Such a transition brings significant pedagogical and linguistic issues around the role of human agency in the process of writing development (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Researchers believe that technology can help to increase the effectiveness of learning, but it can also change the process of cognitive involvement in writing. In cases where students

extensively use automated correction systems they can minimize their focus on underlying grammatical and rhetorical rules, which are crucial to long-term language development (Chapelle, 2001). Therefore, AI is not just a writing tool but a revolutionary power that impacts academic literacy practice.

1.2 Context and Background of the Study

The incorporation of technology in language learning has taken a long period of time to develop. Early computer-aided language learning (Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) systems were originally created to deliver orderly grammar-based drills and instant feedback to students. These mechanisms worked well in the context of strengthening rules of language but did not have much contextual knowledge (Chapelle, 2001).

In contrast, contemporary AI writing tools are context-sensitive and capable of generating human-like text. Grammarly, QuillBot, and generative AI models are the applications that analyze linguistic patterns and propose the paraphrased content and even compose entire academic paragraphs. These advancements are a significant change in favor of intelligent, adaptive writing aids over rule-based ones.

According to research, these tools can enhance the fluency of writing and grammatical accuracy, especially among second-language learners (O'Neill and Russell, 2019). Nevertheless, they also have some concerns about linguistic dependency and less cognitive effort. According to Crystal (2006), digital communication technologies have a propensity to homogenise the use of language, which can restrict creativity and stylistic variation in academic writing.

Moreover, generative AIs have heightened the discussion on authorship and academic dishonesty. These systems are able to create coherent essays with minimal human intervention, making it more and more challenging to differentiate between student-generated and machine-generated content. This questions the conventional higher education assessment models (Selwyn, 2011).

1.3 Research Gap

Although increasing scholarly interest is present, the research on AI writing tools has a number of gaps. The majority of research is concerned with the surface-level gains like grammar accuracy, spelling correction, and fluency increase. Although these results are quite useful, they do not imply much on more profound linguistic and cognitive suggestions.

The impact of AI tools on originality, critical thinking, and long-term development of writing is a poorly researched topic. Moreover, the comparison of AI-assisted writing and traditional writing practices is still lacking. In the absence of such comparisons, it will be challenging to understand whether AI tools do genuinely improve writing competence or merely automatize surface-based improvements (O'Neill and Russell, 2019).

Student dependency is another area that has not been explored in detail. Although certain researchers claim that students might get dependent on AI tools, there is a lack of empirical evidence on the impacts that this dependence has on academic achievements and cognitive growth. Selwyn (2011) emphasizes that digital technologies have the ability to provide passive learning conditions, but the phenomenon needs to be explored in the context of AI writing systems.

Furthermore, the impact of AI on the development of academic English as a dynamic language system is not well studied. Though the language change in digital settings has been investigated (Crystal, 2006), the impact of AI-generated writing in particular on academic discourse remains a developing field of research.

AL-AASAR Journal
Quarterly Research Journal
www.al-aasar.com
Vol. 3, No. 2 (2026)
Online ISSN: 3006-693X
Print ISSN:3006-6921

1.4 Research Objectives

The objectives of the given study are the following:

1. |To investigate the effects of AI writing tools on the use of academic English.
2. To compare the vocabulary, coherence, and sentence structure changes with the help of AI.
3. To test how AI affects originality and critical thinking in student writing.
4. To compare AI-assisted writing to the traditional writing practices
5. To explore the level of student reliance on AI writing tools

1.5 Research Questions

The research questions of the study are:

1. What is the impact of AI writing tools on the quality of academic English?
2. Do AI tools improve language learning or can they lead to dependency among the students?
3. What are the linguistic alterations in vocabulary, structure and coherence as a result of using AI?
4. How does AI impact originality and critical engagement in academic writing?

1.6 Scope and Significance of the Study

The proposed research is concerned with the undergraduate students who have access to AI writing tools during academic writing assignments in English. It analyses popular systems like grammar checkers, paraphrasing systems and generative AI systems. Informal and creative writing situations are avoided to ensure the focus on academic writing.

This study is important because it helps to comprehend the crossroads of technology and language change. It underscores the role of AI in transforming the academic writing process and shaping the linguistic behavior in post-secondary education. Dwivedi et al. (2021) state that AI is both an opportunity and a challenge to the modern education system that needs to be implemented with a balanced strategy.

From a pedagogical perspective, the study emphasizes the importance of developing digital literacy skills among students. AI tools must not be applied to substitute human thinking, but instead to aid the learning process without affecting crucial thinking and writing skills. This will make sure that academic integrity is not compromised by technological advancement but improved (Selwyn, 2011).

1.7 Summary

The chapter has given a detailed presentation of the study including its academic significance, background, research gap, objectives, and significance. It provided a theoretical and contextual framework of the analysis of the way AI writing tools are changing academic English. The following chapter will consider the existing literature on AI-assisted writing, language change, and student dependency in more detail.

2. Literature Review

Artificial intelligence (AI) and its role in academic writing has become a major research topic. The role of AI writing tools in language learning and writing quality and student behavior in higher education has been a growing area of interest among researchers. The literature review is a synthesis of the available research on AI-assisted writing, especially grammar improvement, vocabulary development, language change, dependency, and academic integrity. This chapter will critically examine past studies, find patterns and contradictions in past studies and provide a theoretical basis on the current study. As AI is undergoing development, both educators and researchers need to know about its linguistic and pedagogical implications (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

2.2 Artificial Intelligence Writing Software and Accuracy of language

Among the most popular contributions of AI writing tools, it is possible to mention grammatical accuracy. Grammar checkers and automatic editing software are types of applications that recognition of the mistakes in the syntax, punctuation, and sentence structure, providing the users with instant corrective feedback. Research shows that students who utilize these tools have a much lower number of grammatical mistakes in comparison to students who use the traditional writing technique (O'Neill and Russell, 2019).

This is very useful especially when dealing with second language students, who find it difficult to master complicated grammatical rules. Chapelle (2001) states that language acquisition can be enhanced with the use of technology-enhanced feedback, as it enable the consistent reinforcement of linguistic rules. In this respect, AI tools serve as scaffolding tools, which help learners to build writing competence.

There are however concerns on over dependence. By relying too heavily on automated correction, the learners can learn to be superficial and not internalize grammatical rules. Selwyn (2011) points out that in some cases, digital tools may decrease the active involvement leading to passive learning behavior instead of in-depth cognitive processing.

2.3 Vocabulary and Lexical Change

AI writing tools are also important in improvement of vocabulary. Generative AI models and paraphrasing systems offer other lexical options, and allow students to widen their vocabulary and increase lexical diversity. Studies indicate that AI-generated options can positively impact learners in terms of learning formal academic forms (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

This lexical refinement is, however, in most cases, mechanical, as opposed to conceptual. Students can learn AI-generated vocabulary without necessarily knowing whether it is contextually suitable or not. Crystal (2006) says that digital communication technologies will tend to homogenize the use of language and this can make it less creative and individual in expression.

Additionally, using AI-generated words can deter further lexical discovery. Rather than actively trying to find the right words, students can be suggested to use them automatically, restricting their language freedom and their ability to think critically about language.

2.4 Generative AI and Writing Academic Text

With the advent of generative AI, academic writing has changed considerably. On the contrary to the conventional tools, which are correction-oriented, the generative AI systems have the ability to generate whole essays, paragraphs, and academic arguments on the basis of the user prompt. This has enabled the definition of authorship and writing accountability to be redefined.

Studies show that AI generative systems are able to generate coherent and structurally valid academic documents (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This renders it an effective aid to students who have problems in their organization and development of ideas. Nevertheless, it also brings up some burning questions concerning originality and plagiarism.

According to Selwyn (2011), generative AI is a challenge to the traditional academic norms as it erases the difference between human-authored and machine-generated content. This makes it hard to determine the performance of students and it poses ethical concerns on the issue of academic integrity.

Moreover, excessive use of generative AI can decrease student engagement in the writing process. Writing is essentially a cognitive process which includes analysis, reflection, and synthesis. When AI does these tasks, the learners can develop into passive users, instead of active learners.

2.5 Academic English and AI: Change in language

Language is changing, especially with the impact of technological innovation. Crystal (2006) emphasizes that digital technology has significantly influenced both language use and modes of communication. Ai tools play a role in this development in the context of academic writing by strengthening the standardized format and formalized phrases.

AI systems are educated with huge language datasets, which represent prevalent language norms. Consequently, their productions tend to reproduce traditional academic forms. Although this makes it more understandable and more accurate, it can also lead to less linguistic diversity and variation in styles.

This standardization effect implies that not only writing is being aided by AI but that it is also affecting the construction of academic English. In the long-term, the patterns produced by AI can become standardized when students are repeatedly exposed to them, which will result in homogenization of academic language.

2.6 Student Reliance on AI Tools

The problem of dependency is one of the most important issues of the available literature. With the further development and availability of AI writing tools, students might resort to them more often to generate ideas, write sentences, and correct errors.

Selwyn (2011) cautions that digital technology may provide learning environments of passivity wherein students rely on external systems instead of taking active cognition. This reliance, in the case of AI-based writing tools, can undermine key academic skills like critical thinking and the development of arguments.

Dwivedi et al. (2021) also indicate that AI promotes efficiency but overuse can prevent skills acquisition in the long-term. Regular users of AI tools might lose their confidence in their own writing skills, thus have lower academic autonomy.

Dependency, however, is not in itself bad. With appropriate utilization, AI tools can serve as learning support resources. The most essential point is to balance the technological support with the involvement in learning.

2.7 AI Writing Tools Pedagogical Implications

The increased application of AI to academic writing has significant consequences to the teaching practice. Teachers will need to modify teaching methods to include the use of AI tools without losing the ability to have students learn how to write on their own.

One of them is guided integration in which AI tools serve as an addition as learning aids and not as the main source of writing. As an illustration, grammar checkers can be used by students to detect mistakes but they are advised to read and comprehend corrections.

The other critical factor is the emergence of digital literacy. Learners will have to be taught to be suspicious of AI-generated recommendations and be aware of the drawbacks of automated systems (Selwyn, 2011). This makes the use of technology in academics responsible and ethical.

The practices of assessment might also require revision. In AI-assisted writing, conventional writing assessment might not be a complete measure of student competence, and new approaches to evaluation, focusing on critical thinking and originality, are necessary.

2.8 Literature Review

It is evident in the literature that AI writing tools can be very helpful in terms of grammar accuracy, vocabulary improvement, and efficiency in writing. Nevertheless, they present the issues of originality, dependency, and standardization of language as well.

Although the current literature offers some important information, some gaps can still be identified in the current knowledge on the long-term cognitive and linguistic implications of

AI on academic writing. More comparative and empirical research is required on the role of AI in the development of writing in the long term.

This paper fills these gaps through examining the technical and cognitive aspects of AI-assisted writing, which can help to develop a more in-depth perspective on the effects of the technology on academic English.

3. Research Methodology

This chapter describes the methods and research design applied to explore the effects of artificial intelligence (AI) writing tools on academic English. The research takes a systematic method to study the effects of AI tools on vocabulary, sentence structure, coherence, and originality of student writing. Through a mixture of quantitative and qualitative approaches, the study will contribute to an in-depth knowledge of the role of AI in the academic writing practices.

This study is especially appropriate when it comes to using mixed-method approach, which will enable the researcher to conduct a statistical analysis of the data and interpret it in the context of the provided data. Quantitative methods will be used to present an objective of the change in the quality of writing that can be measured and quantified, whereas qualitative methods will be used to get a glimpse of what students experience and learn. This combination makes the findings more reliable and valid (Creswell).

3.1 Research Design

The research design is the mixed-method research, which combines experimental and descriptive methods of research. The experimental part is the one that compares the writing with the help of AI and the one that is performed with the help of the traditional writing, whereas the descriptive part is aimed at the analysis of the tendencies in the usage of the language and the behavior of the students.

This design suits well since it allows the researcher to explore cause-and-effect relationships as well as looking into the contextual factors. Mixed-method research offers a more in-depth view of complex phenomena, according to Creswell, by integrating quantitative data with qualitative considerations (Creswell).

The research is carried out in a college environment where it targets undergraduate learners who have to write academic papers on a regular basis. The research design will make sure that both the technical and cognitive elements of writing will be explored.

3.2 Population and Sample

This study will target undergraduate students studying in institutions of higher learning. Purposive sampling is used to pick a sample of about 100 students whose sample size is enough to be representative and who have experience in using AI writing tools.

The sample is represented by students of different academic disciplines in order to record the differences in the writing practices. This increases the generalizability of the results. The participants will be split into two groups:

- AI-assisted group: Pupils using AI writing tools.
- Unassisted group: learners writing without AI assistance.

The comparative structure enables one to analyze in detail the differences in the quality of writing or the use of language.

3.4 Data Collection Methods

3.4.1 Writing Samples

Student writing samples are the main source of data. Every subject is requested to do two writing exercises:

1. An assignment with AI writing resources.
2. An activity that is not aided by AI.

These samples are compared to find out grammar, vocabulary, sentence structure and coherence differences. Samples of writing give first-hand information on the impact of AI tools on the language use.

3.4.2 Surveys

Data on the use of AI tools by students as well as their frequency of use and the perception of their effectiveness is gathered using a structured questionnaire. Questions in the survey are both open-ended and closed-ended, which will enable a quantitative measure and qualitative information.

Surveys are frequently employed in research in education to collect information on attitudes and behavior (Creswell). They are used in this work to determine the trends of dependency and preference of students.

3.4.3 Classroom Observations

To study the interaction between AI tools and students in writing activities, classroom observations are carried out. Observations focus on:

- The frequency of using the tool.
- Types of tools used
- Student participation in writing.

This approach can give contextual information to supplement the results of writing samples and surveys.

3.5 Data Analysis Techniques

3.5.1 Quantitative Analysis

The analysis of quantitative data is based on statistical approaches to compare AI-assisted and non-assisted writing. The techniques used are:

Descriptive statistics: Mean, percentage, and frequency distribution.

- Comparative analysis: Inter-group differences in scores.

Correlation analysis: Relationship between writing quality and AI use.

These techniques can be used to determine patterns and quantify the effect of AI tools on writing performance. Statistical analysis will give objective evidence to back up the findings of the study (Creswell).

3.5.2 Qualitative Analysis

Thematic analysis is used to analyze qualitative data obtained in survey and observation. This entails pinpointing recurrent themes connected with:

- Student dependency
- Perceived advantages of AI tools
- •Difficulties in the use of AI

Thematic analysis enables the exploration of the experiences and attitudes of students in a more in-depth way. It adds context and elucidation to quantitative discoveries.

3.6 Study variables

The research involves a dependent and independent variable:

Independent Variable: AI writing tools.

Dependent Variables:

- Grammar accuracy

- Vocabulary usage
- Complexity in sentence structure
- Coherence and cohesion
- Originality

Through the analysis of these variables, the research assesses the impact of AI tools on various facets of academic writing.

3.7 Reliability and Validity

To achieve credibility of the research, it is important that reliability and validity are ensured. The consistency of the results is known as reliability whereas the correctness of the findings is known as validity.

To ensure reliability:

Uses standardized writing tasks.

- There are regular assessment standards used.

To ensure validity:

- Multiple data sources (writing samples, surveys, observations) are used
- Results are triangulated.

Triangulation enhances the accuracy of results by combining different methods and perspectives (Creswell).

3.8 Ethical Considerations

The study is conducted with ethical principles in mind. The reason why the research was conducted is explained to the participants and their consent is obtained prior to data collection. The data about the participants is anonymized to protect confidentiality.

The research also takes care of the fact that application of AI tools does not disadvantage any respondent. All students have equal chances to accomplish tasks and their data is utilized only in relation to research.

Trust and integrity in academic research cannot be maintained without ethical practices in research (Creswell).

3.9 Methodology Limitations

Despite careful design, the study has certain limitations:

- The sample is small, and this can impact the generalizability.
- The analysis is conducted on the short-term impact and not long-term.
- Survey data can be self-reported which can be biased.

These weaknesses underscore the importance of additional studies on bigger sample sizes and longitudinal studies.

3.10 Summary of Methodology

This chapter has described the research design, data collection procedures and analysis procedure that were applied in the study. The mixed-method approach is an in-depth method to study the effects of AI writing tools on academic English.

The quantitative and qualitative approach is used to guarantee the balance and reliability of the analysis. The research objectives are supported by the methodology, which gives a good basis to the further analysis and discussion.

4. Theoretical Analysis

4.1 Background to Theoretical Framework.

This research has its theoretical background constructed around the convergence of language acquisition theory, technological determinism and cognitive learning theory. These frameworks assist in understanding the impact that artificial intelligence (AI) writing tools have on academic English and transform the writing habits of students. The growing application of

AI to the educational process needs to be better understood in terms of the interaction of technology with language and cognition.

Language is not a fixed system; it is dynamic and develops as a result of interaction, learning, and outside influence. Writing in academics is a well-organised cognitive task and it entails planning, organising and expression of ideas. With the introduction of AI tools, this process has altered with the automation of multiple stages of writing, and there are significant theoretical concerns concerning learning, dependency, and language change (Dwivedi et al.).

4.2 The language acquisition theory and writing development

According to language acquisition theory, learning a language happens as a result of active participation, practice and meaningful input. The input hypothesis of learners suggests that the learners learn best when they are exposed to some comprehensible input which is not too difficult but slightly more advanced to their current level of understanding (Krashen). Writing here is a productive ability that is built up by means of practice and mental activity.

In a conventional scholarly writing, students go through a draft, revision and editing process. This practice enables them to absorb grammatical conventions and acquire their own writing style. Nevertheless, AI writing applications change this process, offering immediate corrections and recommendations. This feedback can be useful, but can decrease the necessity to engage in active learning and self-correction.

Chapelle states that technology can facilitate acquisition of language as long as it encourages meaningful communication with language (Chapelle). Nevertheless, technology can inhibit more learning when cognitive effort is substituted with technology. With AI writing tools, the students might be dependent on the automated correcting features instead of the knowledge of the underlying principles, which impacts the long-term development of language.

4.3 Technological Determinism and Language Change

Technological determinism is a theory which evokes the idea that technology influences human actions and cultural activities. McLuhan states that technological inventions do not only change the way people communicate, but also their way of thinking and social systems (McLuhan). This theory can be used to explain how technology is transforming the practice of academic writing as far as AI writing tools are concerned.

AI systems learn on extensive language collections and are programmed to produce text according to pre-existing patterns. Due to this, they reinforce dominant language structures and standardized expressions. This can cause homogenization of academic writing where students write in the same type of sentence structures and using the same vocabulary (Crystal).

Crystal contends that language is changing as a result of technological change, especially in the digital world (Crystal). This process is hastened by AI tools that encourage the use of standard academic language. Though this enhances clarity and accuracy, it can also diminish linguistic variety and creativity in writing.

Theoretically, this would be something to get concerned with as to whether AI is making language less expressive to the individual. When students start to depend on AI-generated suggestions more and more, their writing can display the patterns of machine-generated writing instead of individual linguistic growth.

4.4 Cognitive Load Theory and Writing Processes

Cognitive Load Theory is a theory of human working memory that describes the processing of information in learning. Sweller says that learning is best achieved when the cognitive load is dealt with in an appropriate manner so as to enable the learners to concentrate on important business instead of wasting their time on irrelevant mental effort (Sweller).

The process of writing is a complicated mental process that entails the generation of ideas, their organization, choice of language and revision. Cognitive load can be decreased with AI writing tools that deal with mechanical components of writing, including grammar correction and restructuring sentences. That will enable students to concentrate more on content development. Nevertheless, too much cognitive load reduction can be detrimental. When students become over-dependent on AI technology, they might not experience adequate cognitive processes needed to develop skills. This may result in surface learning, wherein students come up with correct text without the knowledge of the underlying linguistic concepts (Dwivedi et al.).

Therefore, cognitively, AI tools are meant to be employed as scaffolds but not learning resources. There must be a proper balance so that both cognitive engagement and the benefit of the technological support can be achieved.

4.5 Constructivist Learning Theory and AI Tools

According to constructivist theory, learners develop knowledge by actively engaging and interacting with their surrounding environment. Vygotsky argues that learning takes place in the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and that learners will attain greater levels of comprehension when they are properly supported (Vygotsky).

In this context, AI writing tools can serve as a scaffold that facilitates learning through guidance and feedback to enhance writing skills in learners. Indicatively, grammar checkers and paraphrasing tools may help students to correct their work, bit by bit and eventually become competent.

Nevertheless, constructivist theory also lays stress on active learning. In case AI tools do too much cognitive work on behalf of the learner, it might decrease knowledge construction. Selwyn claims that digital technologies may induce passive learning, when students are dependent on external systems instead of thinking independently (Selwyn).

Thus, the usefulness of AI tools is determined by their implementation into the learning process. They facilitate constructivist learning when applied properly and can be detrimental when overused.

4.6 Theory of Standardization of Language

The other significant theoretical point of view is the theory of language standardization. According to this theory, language is more likely to become homogeneous under the influence of the dominant systems and structures. In the case of AI writing tools, standardization is possible due to the fact that algorithms are trained on large datasets representing typical patterns of language usage.

Consequently, AI-based recommendations can tend to include more traditional academic phrases. This enhances clarity and correctness, but can decrease the variation in writing style. Crystal observes that digital communication technologies can cause simplified and standardized language (Crystal).

In scholarly writing, such standardization can lead to grammatically correct texts that are stylistically homogeneous. Students can start to come up with similar sentence structure and decrease individuality and creativity in expression.

4.7 Theoretical Perspectives Synthesis

Collectively, these theoretical frameworks offer a holistic perspective on the effects of AI writing tools on academic English. The language acquisition theory emphasizes the significance of active learning, whereas the cognitive load theory shows how AI can decrease mental effort in writing. Technological determinism demonstrates the influence of AI on the language behavior, and constructivism theory underlines the value of guided learning.

Collectively, the theories indicate that AI writing systems have a positive and negative impact. They improve accuracy and efficiency, but can also decrease cognitive involvement and linguistic diversity. The difficulty is how to balance these effects in order to have meaningful learning outcomes.

According to Dwivedi et al., AI is supposed to be considered as an augmenting tool and not a substitute to human intelligence (Dwivedi et al.). This view is consistent with the notion that technology is not to substitute cognitive and linguistic development.

4.8 Theoretical Analysis Summary

This chapter has discussed the theoretical basis of the effects of AI writing tools on academic English. Based on the analysis, AI affects language learning in various ways, such as cognitive support, language standardization, and writing practices alterations.

Although AI technologies offer a lot of advantages in terms of precision and efficiency, they also lead to the problem of dependency, deficiency of creativity, and the homogenization of languages. The knowledge of these theoretical aspects is critical to considering the overall implications of AI in scholarly writing.

5.1 Discussion/ Analysis

In this chapter, the results concerning the effects of artificial intelligence (AI) writing tools on academic English are thoroughly analyzed. It interprets the information gathered through student writing samples, survey and classroom observations and compares AI-assisted writing with conventional writing practices. The discussion revolves around the major dimensions like grammar correctness, vocabulary, sentence structure, coherence, originality and student dependency.The theoretical frameworks explained above such as language acquisition theory and cognitive load theory, and technological determinism guide the analysis. These models contribute to understanding the role of AI tools in shaping writing behavior and language growth in academics (Dwivedi et al.).

5.2 Grammatical Accuracy and Fluency

Among the most regular results of the study is the fact that AI writing tools do enhance grammatical accuracy greatly. Students who wrote with grammar-checking programs made few mistakes in syntax and punctuation compared to students who wrote without any help. This result is consistent with other studies that have demonstrated that AI tools can improve writing accuracy through real-time feedback (O'Neill and Russell).

The grammar check applications enable students to spot errors that they may not have noticed otherwise. This is especially useful to non-native English speakers, who can easily have difficulty with complex grammatical structures. According to Chapelle, corrective feedback is important in providing instant feedback in learning a language because it aids learners to internalize rules more easily (Chapelle).Nevertheless, there is also a limitation to the analysis. Although grammatical accuracy increases, students tend to adopt AI corrections without knowing the pertinent rules. This is an indication that the gains might be superficial as opposed to deep learning. According to Selwyn, automated systems occasionally minimize active involvement in learning activities, and people become passive reliant on technology (Selwyn).

5.3 Vocabulary Development and Lexical Variation

The data also reveal that AI tools help to use vocabulary better. Lexical variety and formal word choices among students who used paraphrasing tools were higher than in non-assisted writing. This confirms that AI tools have the potential to increase vocabulary knowledge through exposing learners to different ways of expression (Dwivedi et al.).Nevertheless, the analysis demonstrates that this enhancement is frequently mechanical, and not conceptual. Students are more likely to make use of AI-generated suggestions without considering the

suitability of these suggestions critically. Consequently, certain words use is shown to be weak or inappropriate in context.According to Crystal, a digital tool tends to propagate regular language patterns, which can be restrictive to creativity (Crystal). This can be seen in the writing samples, with AI-assisted texts exhibiting lower originality in choice of vocabulary. However, students did not come up with their own expressions but used the similar phrases produced by AI systems.

5.4 Sentence Structure and Coherence

The other meaningful observation is the enhancement in the sentence structure and consistency of the AI-assisted writing. Through AI, students were able to come up with better structured and logically organized essays. Sentences were more straightforward and ideas were brought out in a logical order.This advancement may be credited to AI tools that propose sentence structure and enhance logical structure. This effect can be explained through cognitive load theory, which proposes that AI can decrease the amount of mental effort necessary to organize ideas, enabling students to pay more attention to developing the content (Sweller).Nevertheless, this improvement has a flip side. The discussion shows that students tend to use AI proposals to structure sentences a lot, and this aspect decreases their capacity to organize ideas on their own. This can ultimately undermine their independence in writing and critical thinking.

5.5 Originality and Critical Thinking

The loss of originality in AI-assisted writing is one of the most worrying discoveries. Texts written with the help of AIs are grammatically right and well-structured, but frequently lack personal voice and creativity. There was a high standardization of patterns and expressions with many students generating similar sentence patterns and expressions.This is in line with what Crystal argues that technology has the tendency to homogenize language by facilitating the common linguistic forms (Crystal). In scholarly writing, such homogenization minimizes the variety of expression, and restrains intellectual creativity.Moreover, the analysis indicates that AI tools can have a negative impact on critical thinking. Students who use AI extensively do not generate ideas and develop arguments as actively. They do not create arguments on their own but rely on AI-generated content. This observation agrees with the fact that Selwyn was worried that digital technologies would produce passive learning environments (Selwyn).

5.6 Dependency of AI Tools by the student

An important theme representative of the data is the dependency of students on AI writing tools. According to the results of surveys, many students actively apply AI tools on a regular basis in their writing activities, such as generating ideas, fixing grammar, and paraphrasing.Though students say that such tools have simplified the process of writing, they also confirm an increasing dependency on them. This dependence diminishes their trust in writing without any support and influences their skills in accomplishing tasks without help.According to Dwivedi et al., AI tools can facilitate learning, but overreliance can prevent the development of skills (Dwivedi et al.). The results of the current research confirm this suggestion, indicating that learners who utilize AI resources regularly have poorer independent writing abilities.This addiction poses critical issues of concern to teachers. When students become excessively dependent on AI, they might not achieve the necessary academic skills that include critical thinking, argumentation, and language creativity.

5.7 Comparative Analysis: AI-Assisted vs Traditional Writing

The AI-assisted writing demonstrates better grammar, structure, and fluency. Nevertheless, conventional writing exhibits greater degrees of originality and thinking. Those students that wrote without the help of AI gave a greater variety of expressions and stronger individual voice. Their writing had more grammatical mistakes, but was more indicative of serious thinking and language use. This opposition shows the trade-off between accuracy and creativity. Although AI enhances technical features of the writing process, it can decrease the intellectual facet of the academic writing.

5.8 Relationship with Theoretical Frameworks

The results are consistent with the theoretical points of view mentioned above. Language acquisition theory teaches the role of active participation in the learning process that can be lessened with the help of AI (Krashen). The cognitive load theory describes how AI can decrease mental load, making it more efficient but possibly decreasing skill acquisition (Sweller).

Technological determinism emphasizes the influence that AI has on writing behavior by encouraging standard language patterns (McLuhan). Constructivist theory implies that learners should be actively involved in the process of learning and this process can be undermined when AI is used to accomplish cognitive tasks on behalf of students (Vygotsky). Combined, these theories can be used to explain the two-fold effect of AI writing tools that are both better technical performance and less cognitive and creative engagement.

5.9 Summary of Discussion

The discussion reveals that AI writing tools have a profound impact on academic English. They enhance grammar, vocabulary and structure, and writing becomes more accurate and fluent. Yet, they also result in a decrease in originality, dependence, and the lack of critical involvement. The results indicate that AI tools are to be employed as supplementary tools to replace independent writing. A moderate solution should be made so that students enjoy the advantages of technology without losing cognitive and linguistic growth.

6. Conclusion

This paper examined the effects of artificial intelligence (AI) writing tools on academic English with emphasis on the effects of these technologies on vocabulary, sentence structure, coherence, originality, and student dependency. The high rate of adoption of AI in education has completely altered the way writing is practiced in higher education, and it is important to comprehend its advantages and disadvantages.

This study reveals that AI writing aids in enhancing grammatical quality, fluency, and structure of student writing. Grammar checkers and paraphrasing tools can also assist students to minimize their mistakes and write more professional academic papers. This is also consistent with previous studies that indicated that AI tools can improve technical writing skills by offering immediate corrective feedback (O'Neill and Russell).

Nevertheless, the paper also indicates that these gains are accompanied by significant difficulties. Although AI tools can improve the level of surface writing, they can decrease the originality, critical thinking and autonomous language building. Students tend to depend much on the automatic suggestions thus restricting their involvement in the mental writing processes (Selwyn).

6.1 Council important findings of the study

The study came up with a few significant findings:

- AI writing software is a great way to enhance grammar and sentence construction.

- The use of vocabulary is more standardized and formalized through the aid of AI (Dwivedi et al.).
- Clarity and smooth flow of thoughts can be enhanced by the AI-generated structural support.
- Originality and self-expression decline in AI-mediated writing.
- Students are becoming more and more reliant on AI tools to generate ideas and provide writing assistance.

Such results indicate that AI tools can improve technical aspects of writing, but have a detrimental impact on more profound cognitive and creative skills needed to write academic English.

6.3 Theoretical Implications

The research is supportive and broadens a number of theoretical models. Language acquisition theory confirms the fact that active participation in learning is significant and can be minimized in cases where learners turn to the writing aid of AI (Krashen). Cognitive load theory is the concept that AI saves mental effort, making it more efficient, but possibly at the cost of skill growth (Sweller).

Technological determinism implies that technology is determining how people act and in this regard, AI tools are determining how students write and think about language (McLuhan). Likewise, constructivist theory emphasizes the role of active learning that can be undermined by AI carrying out cognitive tasks on behalf of learners (Vygotsky).

Collectively, these theories support the claim that AI writing tools can have a dual impact: in addition to enhancing technical writing performance, they can also decrease cognitive activity and originality.

6.4 Practical Implications

The results of this research have significant implications to the education system, teachers and students. To teachers, the research indicates the importance of implementing AI tools in the education process in a managed and balanced manner. Rather than prohibiting AI tools, they should be used by educators to show students how to use AI tools responsibly as learning tools. This involves teaching students to be critical of AI-generated suggestions instead of accepting them as is.

To students, the research highlights the need to keep up the independent writing skills. Although AI tools can be used to aid learning, critical thinking and creative expression should not be substituted. The students should learn to be digitally literate so that they can learn how to use AI tools and their limitations.

The implications of the results, in the case of institutions, imply that new academic policies on the use of AI should be developed. Ethical use of AI tools should be guided with clear guidelines to make sure that the tool does not affect the academic integrity (Selwyn).

6.5 Study Limitations

Although this study has its contributions, it has the following limitations:

- Sample size: The sample size was restricted to a particular group of undergraduate students, which can influence the generalizability.
- The research concentrated primarily on AI usage short-term impacts instead of long-term language evolution.
- Information was mainly founded on writing samples and surveys which might not necessarily be able to encompass all aspects of cognitive transformation.

These limitations suggest that further research is needed to explore the long-term impact of AI on academic writing and language learning.

6.6 Future Research Recommendations

It is proposed based on the results that the following recommendations should be made:

1. The effects of AI writing tools on language development should be explored in the future.
2. The impact of AI on creative writing and critical thinking in various fields of study should be investigated by researchers.
3. Additional comparative research regarding AI-assisted and non-assisted writing needs to be carried out with larger sample sizes.
4. Explainable AI in enhancing transparency and learning outcomes is an area of further research (Dwivedi et al.).

These guidelines will contribute to a better comprehension of how AI will further influence academic English.

6.7 Final Conclusion

To sum up, the writing tools of artificial intelligence are changing academic English through enhancing the accuracy, structure and efficiency of academic writing among students. Nevertheless, they also pose issues of originality, dependency, and thinking. The research shows that AI cannot be regarded as an alternative to human writing but is a facilitative tool that can be used to facilitate learning when applied in a proper manner. Excessive use of AI can deteriorate critical academic skills, whereas the moderate use can enhance language proficiency and learning performance.

With the further development of AI, it will play an even bigger role in education. Thus, educators, students, and policymakers must implement methods that can guarantee the use of AI in academic writing is ethical and effective. Finally, balancing the use of technology and the creativity of human beings is essential to ensure the preservation of the integrity of academic English (Dwivedi et al.).

Works Cited

Chapelle, Carol A. *Computer Applications in Second Language Acquisition: Foundations for Teaching, Testing and Research*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

Creswell, John W. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 5th ed., SAGE Publications, 2018.

Crystal, David. *Language and the Internet*. 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Dwivedi, Yogesh K., et al. "Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Emerging Challenges, Opportunities, and Agenda for Research, Practice and Policy." *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 57, 2021, pp. 1–27.

Krashen, Stephen D. *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition*. Pergamon Press, 1982.

McLuhan, Marshall. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. MIT Press, 1994.

O'Neill, Robert, and Angela Russell. "Stop! Grammar Time: Language Learning with AI-Based Tools." *Language Learning & Technology*, vol. 23, no. 3, 2019, pp. 1–15.

Selwyn, Neil. *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2011.

Sweller, John. "Cognitive Load During Problem Solving: Effects on Learning." *Cognitive Science*, vol. 12, no. 2, 1988, pp. 257–285.

Vygotsky, Lev S. *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press, 1978.