THE ROLE OF RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS AND THEIR IMPORTANCE IN RESOLVING FAMILY DISPUTES

Hamza Ali Khatri
Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman
***Aliya Saeed**

The manuscript has not been previously published elsewhere and is not being considered by any other journal. The authors read and approved the final version of the respective manuscript.

Hamza Ali Khatri
Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan
Email: hamzaalikhatri16@gmail.com
Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman
Teaching Associate, Department of Sociology, University of Karachi, Pakistan; and Visiting Faculty, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan
Email: tansif@live.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5454-2150
Aliya Saeed
PhD Fellow at School of Law, University of Karachi, Pakistan
Email: aaliasaeed@yahoo.com

Note: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

Abstract
Religious organizations take center stage when it comes to solving family conflicts across the varied socio-cultural environment. Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms in Islamic councils, Christian parish committees, Hindu panchayat, and Sikh gurdwara committees are alternative mediating bodies that supplement the formal justice system and provide mediation and reconciliation services to family and marriage conflicts, inheritance issues, and domestic matters. This study explores the challenges in the form of inadequate recognition, different standards among the many religious traditions, and the issue of gender justice. The paper proposes a framework within which religious dispute resolution mechanisms can be incorporated into the justice system.
Keywords: *challenges, historical context, laws, opportunities, theoretical context*

Introduction

Religious dispute resolution research also notes the visibility of Islamic institutions like shariah councils and mosque committees (Ashraf & Khan, 2024). Such organizations utilize mediation approaches rooted in sulh (reconciliation) and can act in parallel with official courts (Maryam & Irianto, 2024). They have emphasized harmony and social stability, which depicts

how the Islamic principles influence alternative dispute resolution, especially in family-related disputes (Nurdiansyah et al., 2025).

Christian groups and committees within the parish apply the practices of reconciliation based on the Christian principles of forgiveness and unity. They have a combination of pastoral care and family support. Hindu caste panchayat combine religious principles and community values in favor of family prestige and peace. Sikh gurdwara also employ the methods of equality and justice to settle disagreements (Khan & Sepulveda, 2022). In these traditions, faith-based institutions integrate cultural and moral principles with practical conflict resolution, demonstrating the role of these institutions in maintaining family solidarity (Ashraf & Khan, 2024).

Recent research indicates that there is growing interest in comparisons of mediation practices across religions. Researchers state that even though such institutions stimulate reconciliation, they still need to develop to safeguard the rights of inheritors and minority rights (Kevern et al., 2022). The views that are reform-oriented focus on the reconciliation of the cultural legitimacy and legal regulation, whereby the religious forums should be conducted fairly without compromising the fact that they have the acceptance of the community (Nurdiansyah et al., 2025). This type of work highlights the expanded value of faith-based mediation to the family justice system in nations (Maryam & Irianto, 2024).

Research Justification

The importance of religious institutions in resolving of family disputes requires an in-depth scholarly research due to a variety of reasons. To begin with, the institutions cover a significant segment of the population that does not like the ways of resolving disputes that are based on formal legal proceedings but rather on the basis of religion. Second, it is necessary to compare various methods of different religious traditions to see their advantages and disadvantages. Third, it is becoming a major issue of how the rights of women and minority are safeguarded in the religious dispute resolution systems. Available sources reveal that religious institutions commonly give quicker and culturally agreeable solutions compared to official courts, especially where the family issues are delicate.

Nevertheless, there are still considerable gaps in the knowledge of the way various religious traditions treat dispute resolution and how these mechanisms can be applied to formal justice systems without violating basic rights.

In this study, these gaps have been addressed by offering a detailed discussion on the multi-religious approaches to family dispute resolution in the countries. The paper provides evidence-based solutions to the issue of reforming and regulating religious dispute resolution processes and making them more effective without violating constitutional protections as well as international human rights norms.

Research Objectives

1. To discuss the historical context of the role of religious institutions in resolving family disputes.
2. To highlight the theoretical context of the role of religious institutions in resolving family disputes.
3. To analyze the laws regarding the role of religious institutions in resolving family disputes

AL-AASAR Journal
Quarterly *Research Journal*
www.al-aasar.com

Vol. 2, No.4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-693X
Print ISSN:3006-6921

4. To identify the key challenges regarding the role of religious institutions in resolving family disputes.
5. To explore the opportunities for the role of religious institutions in resolving family disputes.
6. To propose effective prevention and intervention strategies.

Research Methodology

This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba &Lwoga, 2020). Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008). The criteria for selection are listed.

1. **Relevance:** Researches that directly addressed the questions posed by this study are included.
2. **Quality:** Studies that meet a certain quality threshold (e.g., methodological rigour, bias risk) are included. Most of the research is from Scopus-indexed and Clarivate Analytics journals and reputed publishers.
3. **Recency:** Consideration of the publication date to ensure that the review reflects the most current evidence. Most of the studies are from the last three years.
4. **Language:** Only studies published in English are included.
5. **Data Completeness:** Previous studies must provide sufficient data on outcomes of interest for practical synthesis; this is also ensured in this research.

This study did not use primary data from human participants; therefore, no ethics clearance letter from the ethics committee was required.

Literature Review

1. Practices of Islamic Mediation

Islamic institutions, especially the shariah councils and mosque committees, have been extensively researched in their role in family dispute resolution (Nurdiansyah et al., 2025). These authorities use more Quranic-based mediation techniques of sulh (reconciliation), and frequently in conjunction with formal courts of law (Guna et al., 2024).

2. Christian and Hindu Institutions

The Christian ecclesiastical authorities and parish councils are basing their reconciliation on the Christian doctrines of forgiveness and unity (Kasa, 2025). They coordinate pastoral care and dispute settlement, trying to maintain the family in its ordinary state. Likewise, Hindu community institutions and caste panchayat use conventional practices that combine religious standards with communal values (Jinna, 2024). These buildings value unity and preservation of family dignity in the community (Kevern et al., 2022).

3. Sikh Contributions and Comparative Studies

The Sikh gurdwara committees and religious leaders also help by putting principles into practice. Justice and equality based on Sikh teachings, emphasizing reconciliation and welfare (Jinna, 2024). Recent literature emphasizes the increasing comparative interest in various faith-

based mechanisms (Kasa, 2025). These studies advocate changes that respect cultural legitimacy and enhance the protection of women and minorities.

Historical Context of the Role of Religious Institutions in Resolving Family Disputes

1. Pre-Colonial and Early Practices

The practice of resolving disputes by religious institutions has a long history in the Indian subcontinent (Kevern et al., 2022). Traditional processes based on Islamic teachings were used to resolve family disputes long before the establishment of Pakistan in 1947 (Ashraf & Khan, 2024). The Muslim rule had an Islamic judicial system, whereas Hindu, Christian, and Sikh communities operated their own parallel system (Jinna, 2024).

2. Colonial Dual System

The introduction of formal courts in the British colonial period did not affect personal law issues in religion, which continued to be exercised with much control (Khan & Sepulveda, 2022). It formed a dual system within which family conflicts were largely resolved through religious processes, whereas colonial courts dealt with wide-ranging civil and criminal matters (Abdurrauf et al., 2024).

3. Post-Independence Developments

After gaining independence, the institutionalization of the legal system solidified the inter-religious and formal judicial frameworks (Nurdiansyah et al., 2025). The Muslim Family Laws Ordinance (1961) officially acknowledged the Islamic Dispute resolution, although minority groups still followed their conventional ways (Kasa, 2025).

4. Contemporary Role

The cases pending in courts in recent decades have consolidated dependence on religious forums, which many families consider faster and based on culture (Kevern et al., 2022). This development has created a hybrid system in which religious institutions remain a central part of the system in addition to formal family courts (Halim et al., 2024).

Theoretical Context of Religious Institution to Resolve Family Disputes

The religious dispute resolution theoretical framework is based on various fields such as legal pluralism, conflict resolution theory, and religious studies. Legal pluralism theory acknowledges that different legal systems exist in societies that interact like religious and state systems. This theoretical approach assists in comprehending the functioning of religious institutions and formal systems of the judiciary. Conflict resolution theory offers information on the way religious institutions use mediation, arbitration, and reconciliation methods based in religious foundations. Religious doctrines tend to focus on healing of relationships instead of only justifying what is right or wrong, and this is consistent with the contemporary restorative methods of justice.

Religious studies lenses assist in identifying how various religious interpretations investigate conflict resolution using their holy writings and theological doctrines. Islamic institutions are more stressful in terms of such concepts as *sulh* (reconciliation) and *adl* (justice), whereas Christian institutions are more stressful in terms of forgiveness and reconciliation with references to the teachings of the New Testament. The feminist legal theory presents critical insights into the impact of religious dispute resolution mechanisms on the rights and gender

AL-AASAR Journal
Quarterly *Research Journal*
www.al-aasar.com

Vol. 2, No.4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-693X
Print ISSN:3006-6921

equality of women. This theoretical framework contributes to the identification of possible reforms that are required to make religious dispute resolution more protective of the rights of women and more gender-just.

Laws Regarding Religious Institutions to Resolve Family Disputes

In Pakistan, the legal nature of the religious dispute resolution is associated with a complex relationship of the constitutional provisions, laws, and principles of religion. Freedom of religion and protection of religious institutions are guaranteed by the Constitution of Pakistan, which offers grounds on which religious dispute resolution mechanisms can be established. Article 2A clarifies that the laws ought to comply with the Islamic teachings, whereas Articles 20-22 ensure religious freedom to the minority.

The Muslim Family Laws Ordinance of 1961 gives some official status to Islamic dispute resolutions, especially by arbitration councils. In these councils, religious leaders and religious principles are also involved in solving family disputes, particularly marriage and divorce. The other religious groups run their dispute resolution systems through their own personal laws and customs accepted by the state. The Christian marriage laws, Hindu marriage acts, and Sikh personal laws offer a structure for resolving religious disputes in these communities.

The Code of Civil Procedure and the Family Courts Act give an opportunity to integrate religious mediation into official legal proceedings. Section 89-A of the Code has given authority to the courts to refer the cases to alternative dispute resolution, such as religious mediation, at the consent of the parties.

Challenges for Religious Institutions to Resolve Family Disputes

Resolution of family disputes in religious institutions has some major challenges. First, there are no common standards and procedures to be followed in various institutions and spiritual traditions. It makes results inconsistent and poses the question of fairness and justice. Second, some religious institutions do not have formal training in the contemporary mediation methods and principles of law. This may result in poor management of complicated legal matters as well as the inability to defend the rights of the parties, especially women and vulnerable individuals.

Third, gender justice and the safeguarding of the rights of women are also matters of concern in the framework of religious dispute resolution. Certain institutions can place more emphasis on traditional patriarchal values than on personal rights and gender equality. Fourth, the legality of the decisions that are taken by religious institutions is not clear in most situations. It brings about a lack of clarity in enforcement and compliance, especially in cases where decisions are in conflict with formal legal requirements. Fifth, religious institutions can be limited in resources and capacity, especially smaller institutions that serve minority populations.

Opportunities for Religious Institutions to Resolve Family Disputes

Nevertheless, there are great prospects of improving the way religious disputes are resolved in Pakistan. First, alternative dispute resolution mechanisms in the formal justice system are increasingly being recognized. It opens the way to enhanced integration of religious institutions and formal legal procedures. Second, the growing focus on judicial reforms and the decrease in court backlogs create a stimulus to enhance effective alternative dispute resolution solutions. Religions can make significant contributions to this reform process.

Third, technology innovations provide new opportunities in the development of the service of religious dispute resolution. Women and the marginalized communities can have better access to religious dispute resolution through the online medium. Fourth, there is increasing interest in standards and best practice development of religious dispute resolution across various faith traditions. It may result in better quality and consistency of services. Fifth, Pakistan can learn a lot from the international experiences in religious dispute resolution. Several countries, such as Malaysia, Indonesia, and India, have come up with fascinating ideas on how religious dispute resolution can be incorporated into formal law systems.

Discussion

The study shows that there are important challenges and opportunities, and that religious institutions play a significant role in resolving disputes in families in Pakistan, but play an important complement to formal judicial frameworks. Nonetheless, there is a need to undertake serious reforms that will help overcome the problems associated with standardization, training, gender justice, and legal recognition. The study recommends that a framework to regulate and support religious dispute resolving institutions might lead to greater effectiveness, without prejudging the protection of fundamental rights. The research also focuses on the significance of interfaith dialogue and learning between religious traditions. Through the exchange of best practices and the creation of shared standards, religious institutions will be able to enhance their services in dispute resolution without losing their religious uniqueness.

Conclusion

Family mediation is one of the most important, but not fully exploited, avenues to reform Pakistan's family justice system. Although based on Islamic ideals and backed by official statutes, the effectiveness is hampered by the lack of procedures and is still reliant on informal forums. It is contended in this paper that Family Dispute Resolution (FDR) centres, which are regulated by the state, should be developed. These centers would also provide enforceable agreements, trained professionals, and gender sensitive processes. When the institutions support it, when the population translates it into practice, when it is backed by the law, when the dispute becomes a dignified, fair, and culturally sound process, and finally covers the void between tradition and modern justice, mediation can become a reliable way of resolving disputes.

Recommendations

1. Accessibility to Women and Marginalized: Categories Enhance women and
marginalized communities to have access to religious dispute resolution services, thereby promoting inclusivity.

2. Capacity Building: Invest in capacity-building strategies to reinforce the institutional capacity of religious dispute resolution institutions.

3. Interfaith Dialogue: Encourage interfaith dialogue and sharing of knowledge among the various religious institutions in order to enhance mutual learning.

4. Integration of technology:Integrate technology like online mediation tools to increase accessibility and effectiveness.

5. Monitoring and Evaluation Conduct: Periodic monitoring and evaluation of religious dispute resolution services in order to measure the effectiveness and accountability.

6. Oversight and Quality Assurance: Set up independent checks and balances to control religious practices of dispute resolution.

7. Timing with Judicial Systems: Enhance the use of coordination between the religious institutions on the one hand and formal judicial systems on the other hand in ensuring the promotion of coherence and legitimacy.

8. Standardized Guidelines : Prepare standardized guidelines for religious dispute resolution institutions to bring uniformity and equity in the processes.

9. Training Programs: Establish Religious Leadership Training Programs aimed at modern mediation skills and rights-based training.

Research Limitations

Although this study is thorough in its analysis, there are limitations that have to be mentioned. To begin with, the study is largely based on qualitative sources, such as case studies and the literature of secondary nature, which might not be sufficient to reflect the scope of lived experiences in various communities. Second, the research is confined to the four largest religious groups in Pakistan, and other minor communities and sectarian divides are under-researched. Third, the representativeness of findings could be impacted by the fact that some religious institutions were only able to provide reliable data because of the issue of confidentiality. Also, the analysis does not go as far as empirical fieldwork or an extensive survey that might give more quantifiable evidence. Lastly, since religious power and gender justice are sensitive issues, it is possible that the study is biased and does not necessarily capture the controversial dynamics in each tradition. These shortcomings indicate that generalization of the results should be done with caution, and additional empirical studies should be conducted to confirm the inferences made.

Research Implications

1. **Academic Contribution:** Such a study adds value to the existing body of research on legal pluralism and multi-faith dispute resolution, which can be compared with other pluralistic societies.

2. Institutional Reform: Findings bring to light the fact that religious institutions should seek standard practices, capacity building and modern mediation practices, and uphold religious legitimacy.

3. Relevance by Discipline: The paper offers an avenue through which the general study of family dispute resolution can be achieved through bridging law, religious studies, and gender studies.

4. **Practical Application:** The proposed framework illuminates how religious institutions are going to be changed into potential partners in the administration of justice to offer culturally relevant yet fair outcomes.

5. Policy Development: The research includes evidence to improve the involvement of the institutions of the state and religious organizations to decrease the number of cases in the court and improve access to justice.

Future Research Directions

1. **Comparative Studies:** A comparative study between Pakistan and other Muslim majority countries and multi-faith nations like Malaysia, Indonesia, and India could be used to identify best practices and lessons that can be adapted to the Pakistan situation.

2. Empirical Fieldwork: Future research should utilize the surveys, interviews, and case studies in different regions of Pakistan to obtain practices on the community level and measure the real effectiveness of religious dispute resolution.

3. Gender Dimensions: They need to carry out more studies on the experiences of women in the religious dispute resolution mechanisms and particularly the reforms that would help construct gender justice without compromising cultural and spiritual authority.

4. Interdisciplinary Approaches: Integration of law, sociological, anthropological, and theological views will allow a comprehensive understanding of the current state of religious institutions in the way they evolve to address the contemporary issues of justice and in the manner that they can be reconciled with the changes in the policies.

5. Technology Integration: Access to digital technology can be demonstrated as a way to enhance access to justice for marginalized and rural populations, and it can be investigated with the help of studying the role of digital tools, including online mediation platforms.

References

Abdurrauf, Yunus, N., & Selian, M. A. H. (2023). Religious court institutions and their competence in Sharia dispute resolution. *El-Siyasa: Journal of Constitutional Law, 1*(1), 22–35. https://doi.org/10.61341/elsiyasa/v1i1.003

Ashraf, Z., & Khan, N. A. (2024). Family conflict resolution in Islamic law: Prophetic methods and contemporary applications. *Al-Kashaf, 4*(4), 9–20. https://alkashaf.pk/index.php/Journal/article/view/157

Egger, M., Higgins, J. P., & Smith, G. D. (Eds.). (2022). *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context.* John Wiley & Sons.

Gan, J., Xie, L., Peng, G., Xie, J., Chen, Y., & Yu, Q. (2021). Systematic review on modification methods of dietary fiber. *Food Hydrocolloids, 119*, 106872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106872

Guna, B. W. K., Yuwantiningrum, S. E., Firmansyah, Aminudin, M. D., & Aslan. (2024). Building morality and ethics through Islamic religious education in schools. *International Journal of Global Innovation in Education, 5*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.37567/ijgie.v5i1.2685

Halim, S., Syamsurizal, Firdaus, Desminar, Jaffar, M. N., & Yanti, R. E. (2024). The communication patterns of husband and wife couples in resolving household conflicts: Islamic family law perspectives. *KARSA Journal of Social and Islamic Culture, 32*(1), 33–71. https://doi.org/10.19105/karsa.v32i1.13280

Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research,* 13621688211001289. https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289

Jinna, M. A. (2024). Religious rituals and their impact on Indian family cohesion: A sociological analysis. *Xpertno International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2*(1), 1-18. https://seipid.com/010:XIJIR:v2n1a1

Kasa, A. (2025). The influence of religious beliefs on the formation of historical events and cultural traditions: A comparative analysis of world religions. *Pharos Journal of Theology, 106*(3), 27–45. https://doi.org/10.46222/pharosjot.106.3027

Kevern, P., Lawrence, D., Nazir, N., & Tsaroucha, A. (2022). Religious influences on the experience of family careers of people with dementia in a British Pakistani Muslim community. *Healthcare, 11*(1), Article 120. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11010120

Khan, M. S., & Sepulveda, L. (2022). Conflict, displacement, and economic revival: The case of the internally displaced minority entrepreneurs in Pakistan. *Strategic Change, 31*(4), 461–477. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2515

Komba, M. M. & Lwoga, E. T. (2020). Systematic review as a research method in library and information science. In P. Ngulube (Ed.), *Handbook of research on connecting research methods for information science research* (pp. 80-94). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1471-9.ch005

Maryam, R., & Irianto, S. (2024). A study of simple and complex approaches to divorce mediation in Indonesian religious courts. *Lentera Hukum, 10*(3), 397–422. https://doi.org/10.19184/ejlh.v10i3.43726

Nurdiansyah, P. P. D., Tamimi, L. M. , Zuhriah, E., & Nasution, N. R. (2025). Reconstructing mediation regulation in contemporary Islamic family disputes: Reform urgency in Indonesian religious courts. *Journal of Hukum Keluarga Islam, 7(*2), *217-236*. https://doi.org/10.33367/2kf5f656

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., & Moher, D. (2021). Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the Prisma 2020 statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 134*, 103-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003

Pawson, R., Greenhalgh, T., Harvey, G., & Walshe, K. (2005). Realist review - A new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 10*(1), 21-34. https://doi.org/10.1258/1355819054308530

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide.* Blackwell Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887

Rahi, S. (2017). Research design and methods: A systematic review of research, sampling issues, and instruments development. *International Journal of Economics Management Sciences, 6*(2), 403. https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000403

Victor, L. (2008). Systematic reviewing in the social sciences: Outcomes and explanation. *Enquire, 1*(1), 32-46. https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/sociology/documents/enquire/volume-1-issue- 1-victor.pdf